

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,463	04/21/2004	Kyoung-Ho Kang	61610139US	5334
	7590 02/21/2007 ASSOCIATES, PLC	EXAMINER		
8500 LEESBU			PERVAN, MICHAEL	
SUITE 7500 VIENNA, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/828,463	KANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael Pervan	2629		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ag 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/14/05. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a switching output current" should instead be "a switching output circuit". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "switching output circuit" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al (US 6,262,699).

In regards to claim 1, Suzuki discloses a driver for driving a plasma display panel (col. 4, lines 54-56), wherein the plasma display panel includes a plurality of address

Art Unit: 2629

electrode (Fig. 3), a plurality of X electrode (Fig. 3) and a plurality of Y electrode (Fig. 3), said driver comprising:

an address driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 32-36); an X driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 11-14); and a Y driver (Fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 5-11),

wherein the plurality of X electrodes and the plurality of Y electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other forming a XY electrode pair group and in substantially perpendicular to the to the plurality of address electrode (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the X and Y electrodes alternate and form XY electrode pairs which are perpendicular to the plurality of address electrodes),

wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode groups), and

wherein at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits).

In regards to claim 2, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 1, wherein the plurality of driving circuits operate independently to perform an addressing operation and a display sustain discharge operation alternately (Figs. 4C-I; as can be seen from the drawings electrodes Y₁-Y_n are independent and alternate addressing and sustain) and to apply a voltage for display-sustain discharge only to an XY electrode pair group that

Art Unit: 2629

has already been addressed (Figs. 4C-4I; as can be seen from the drawings the sustain voltage is applied after the addressing period).

In regards to claim 3, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver comprises:

a scan circuit (a circuit which provides a scan pulse) that sequentially applies a scan pulse to the plurality of Y electrodes for addressing (Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 48-65; the Y drivers provide a scan pulse, therefore a scan circuit must be present); and

a sustain circuit (a circuit which provides a sustain pulse) that simultaneously applies periodical display-sustain pulses of the alternating current voltage to the plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 51-58; the Y driver provides a sustain pulse, therefore a sustain circuit must be present).

In regards to claim 8, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 3, wherein the Y driver further comprises a single reset circuit (a circuit which provides a reset pulse) that performs a reset operation for having a state of charges in every display cell uniform (col. 5, lines 15-26; the Y driver provides a reset pulse, therefore there must be a reset circuit present).

In regards to claim 9, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 8, wherein the X driver comprises a single reset circuit (a circuit which provides a reset pulse) that operates together with the reset circuit of the Y driver (col. 5, lines 15-26; the X driver provides a reset pulse, therefore there must be a reset circuit present).

In regards to claim 10, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 8, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver comprises a sustain circuit (a circuit which

Application/Control Number: 10/828,463 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

provides a sustain pulse) which simultaneously applies periodical display-sustain pulses of the alternating current voltage to the X electrode lines (Fig. 4 and col. 6, lines 51-58; the X driver provides a sustain pulse, therefore a sustain circuit must be present).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al in view of Lee et al (US 2003/0057858).

In regards to claim 4, Suzuki discloses the driver of claim 3, wherein the scan circuit comprises:

a scan driving circuit (a circuit that provides a scan pulse) (Fig. 4 and col. 5, lines 48-65; the Y drivers provide a scan pulse, therefore a scan circuit must be present).

Suzuki does not disclose a switching output circuit.

Lee discloses a switching output circuit (Fig. 7).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Lee, a switching output circuit, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 5, Suzuki does not disclose the driver of claim 4, wherein the switching output circuit comprises:

an upper transistor (Fig. 7; YU₁-YU_n);

Art Unit: 2629

a lower transistor (Fig. 7; YL₁-YL_n); and

a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor (Fig. 7; Y₁-

 Y_n),

wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrode (Fig. 7; Y_1-Y_n).

Lee discloses wherein the switching output circuit comprises an upper transistor, a lower transistor and a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor, wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrode.

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Lee, wherein the switching output circuit comprises an upper transistor, a lower transistor and a common output line for the upper transistor and the lower transistor, wherein the common output line is coupled to one of the plurality of Y electrode, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 6, Suzuki does not disclose the driver of claim 5, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of the lower transistor to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is scanned during an addressing period and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is not scanned during the addressing period.

Lee discloses the driver of claim 5, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of

Art Unit: 2629

the lower transistor (Fig. 7) to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is scanned during an addressing period (Fig. 8) and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is not scanned during the addressing period (Fig. 8; Sy1 applies a voltage after the scan period).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Lee, wherein the scan circuit is coupled to an upper common power line of the upper transistor and to a lower common power line of the lower transistor to apply a scan voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is scanned during an addressing period and to apply a scan bias voltage to one of the plurality of Y electrode that is not scanned during the addressing period, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

In regards to claim 7, Suzuki does not disclose the driver of claim 6, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit.

Lee discloses the driver of claim 6, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit (Fig. 7; as can be seen from the drawing the sustain circuit (SP_y is connected to the common power line of the upper transistor via the scan circuit).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Lee, wherein an output of the sustain circuit is applied to one of the upper common power line and the lower common power line via the scan driving circuit, to improve display performance (paragraph 18).

Art Unit: 2629

8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al in view of Hashimoto et al (US 6,091,380).

In regards to claim 11, Suzuki does not disclose the driver of claim 2, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver drives Y electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group, each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver drives X electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group, wherein an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of Y electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver is not the same as an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of the X electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the X electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver.

Hashimoto discloses the driver of claim 2, wherein each of the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver drives Y electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group (Fig. 2; as can be seen from the drawing, scanning circuit 13(1)-13(n) drive corresponding electrodes), each of the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver drives X electrodes of a corresponding XY electrode pair group (Fig. 2, as can be seen from the drawing, Y drivers 16a and 16b driver corresponding electrodes), wherein an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of Y electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the Y driver is not the same as an XY electrode pair group including the plurality of the X electrodes driven by one among the plurality of driving circuits of the X driver (Fig. 2; as can be seen from the drawing, the scanning circuit 13(1) has a different X electrode driver than scanning circuit 13(n)).

Art Unit: 2629

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Suzuki with the teachings of Hashimoto, XY pair group of one Y driver has a different X driver than the other Y driver, because

9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al in view of Suzuki et al.

In regards to claim 12, Lee discloses a plasma display panel device (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15), comprising:

a plasma display panel (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);

a video processor (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);

a logic controller (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);

an X driver that controls a plurality of X electrodes (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15);

a Y driver that controls a plurality of Y electrodes (Fig. 5 and paragraph 15); and an address driver that controls a plurality of address electrodes (Fig. 5 and

paragraph 15),

Lee does not disclose wherein the plurality of Y electrodes and the plurality of X electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other forming XY electrode pairs, wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups and at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups.

Suzuki discloses wherein the plurality of Y electrodes and the plurality of X electrodes are arranged alternately next to each other forming XY electrode pairs (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the X and Y electrodes alternate and form XY

Art Unit: 2629

electrode pairs), wherein the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the XY electrode pairs are divided into a plurality of XY electrode groups) and at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups (Fig. 3; as can be seen from the drawing the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Lee with the teachings of Suzuki, at least one of the X driver and the Y driver comprises a plurality of driving circuits corresponding to the plurality of XY electrode pair groups, because it can reduce an address write cycle and also realize a stable highly-fine/high-quality display without erroneous discharge (col. 3, lines 46-48).

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,463 Page 11

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVP Feb. 1, 2007

